



REC'D 23 APR 2004	
WIPO	PCT



10/532834

PCT/03/05430

02.03.04



INVESTOR IN PEOPLE

The Patent Office  
Concept House  
Cardiff Road  
Newport  
South Wales  
NP10 8QQ

**PRIORITY DOCUMENT**  
SUBMITTED OR TRANSMITTED IN  
COMPLIANCE WITH  
RULE 17.1(a) OR (b)

I, the undersigned, being an officer duly authorised in accordance with Section 74(1) and (4) of the Deregulation & Contracting Out Act 1994, to sign and issue certificates on behalf of the Comptroller-General, hereby certify that annexed hereto is a true copy of the documents as originally filed in connection with the patent application identified therein.

I also certify that the attached copy of the request for grant of a Patent (Form 1/77) bears an amendment, effected by this office, following a request by the applicant and agreed to by the Comptroller-General.

In accordance with the Patents (Companies Re-registration) Rules 1982, if a company named in this certificate and any accompanying documents has re-registered under the Companies Act 1980 with the same name as that with which it was registered immediately before re-registration save for the substitution as, or inclusion as, the last part of the name of the words "public limited company" or their equivalents in Welsh, references to the name of the company in this certificate and any accompanying documents shall be treated as references to the name with which it is so re-registered.

In accordance with the rules, the words "public limited company" may be replaced by p.l.c., plc, P.L.C. or PLC.

Re-registration under the Companies Act does not constitute a new legal entity but merely subjects the company to certain additional company law rules.

Signed

Dated 20 February 2004

**BEST AVAILABLE COPY**

Patents Form 1/77

CF

Patents Act 1977  
(Rule 16)

31 MAR 2003

RECEIVED BY FAX

The  
Patent  
Office

31MAR03 E796558-1 D10133

P01/7700 0.00-0307379.8

177

### Request for grant of a patent

(See the notes on the back of this form. You can also get an explanatory leaflet from the Patent Office to help you fill in this form)

The Patent Office

Cardiff Road  
Newport  
Gwent NP9 1RH

31 MAR 2003

1. Your reference

P1662

2. Patent application number

(The Patent Office will fill in this part)

0307379.8

3. Full name, address and postcode of the or of each applicant (underline all surnames)

Sense Proteomic Limited  
Unit 4, The Switchback  
Gardner Road, Maidenhead  
Berkshire, SL6 7RJ

Patents ADP number (if you know it)

8601031001

If the applicant is a corporate body, give the country/state of its incorporation

United Kingdom

4. Title of the invention

NEW USES OF PROTEINS ENCODED BY BLE GENES  
AND ANTIBIOTICS FROM THE BLEOMYCIN FAMILY

5. Name of your agent (if you have one)

"Address for service" in the United Kingdom to which all correspondence should be sent (including the postcode)

Stratagem IPM Ltd  
The Old Rectory  
Nedging Tye  
Ipswich  
IP7 7HQ

Malthus 4 Square  
100 Gray's Inn Road  
London

1081001 WAX 8AL 858755200

Patents ADP number (if you know it)

6. If you are declaring priority from one or more earlier patent applications, give the country and the date of filing of the or of each of these earlier applications and (if you know it) the or each application number

Country

Priority application number  
(if you know it)

Date of filing  
(day / month / year)

7. If this application is divided or otherwise derived from an earlier UK application, give the number and the filing date of the earlier application

Number or earlier application

Date of filing  
(day / month / year)

8. Is a statement of inventorship and of right if to grant of a patent required in support of this request? (Answer 'Yes' if:

YES

- a) any applicant named in part 3 is not an inventor, or
- b) there is an inventor who is not named as an applicant, or
- c) any named applicant is a corporate body.

See note (d))

Patents Form 1/77

0064995 31 Mar 03 04:11

**Patents Form 1/77**

9. Enter the number of sheets for any of the following items you are filing with this form.  
Do not count copies of the same document

Continuation sheets of this form

Description

16

Claim(s)

4

Abstract

-

Drawing(s)

8 only

10. If you are also filing any of the following, state how many against each item.

Priority documents

Translations of priority documents

Statement of inventorship and right to grant of a patent (Patents Form 7/77)

Request for preliminary examination and search (Patents Form 9/77)

Request for substantive examination (Patents Form 10/77)

Any other documents (please specify)

11.

I / We request the grant of a patent on the basis of this application.

Signature *Sharagen I AM Ltd*

Date 31 Mar. 03

12. Name and daytime telephone number of person to contact in the United Kingdom

Dominic Schiller 0870 161 1673

**Warning**

After an application for a patent has been filed, the Comptroller of the Patent Office will consider whether publication or communication of the invention should be prohibited or restricted under Section 22 of the Patents Act 1977. You will be informed if it is necessary to prohibit or restrict your invention in this way. Furthermore, if you live in the United Kingdom, Section 23 of the Patents Act 1977 stops you from applying for a patent abroad without first getting written permission from the Patent Office unless an application has been filed at least 6 weeks beforehand in the United Kingdom for a patent of the same invention and either no direction prohibiting publication or communication has been given, or any such direction has been revoked.

**Notes**

- If you need help to fill in this form or have any questions, please contact the Patent Office on 0645 500505.
- Write your answers in capital letters using black ink or you may type them.
- If there is not enough space for all the relevant details on any part of this form, please continue on a separate sheet of paper and write "see continuation sheet" in the relevant part(s). Any continuation sheet should be attached to this form.
- If you have attached 'Yes' Patents Form 7/77 will need to be filed.
- Once you have filled in the form you must remember to sign and date it.
- For details of the fee and ways to pay please contact the Patent Office.

**Patents Form 1/77**

0064995 31-Mar-03 04:11

## **NEW USES OF PROTEINS ENCODED BY *BLE* GENES AND ANTIBIOTICS FROM THE BLEOMYCIN FAMILY .**

### **FIELD OF THE INVENTION**

The present invention relates to new uses for the family of "*ble*" genes, for example *Sh ble*, *Tn5 ble* and *Sa ble*, and the family of proteins expressed by these genes which are able to reversibly bind to the bleomycin family of antibiotics. The bleomycin family of antibiotics are DNA - cleaving glycopeptides and include bleomycin, phleomycin, tallysomycin, pepleomycin and Zeocin™. The invention also relates to new uses of these antibiotics. More particularly it relates to fusion proteins comprising a *ble* protein and tools, methods and products which depend on the specificity between the *ble* protein and the antibiotics of the bleomycin family.

When these *ble* genes are expressed together with another protein as fusion proteins or the *ble* gene products are otherwise fused or linked to other molecules, particularly proteins, they can, for example, be used to strongly or weakly bind the fusion protein to a surface, such as an array, particular a microarray, a glass slide or a microtitre plate (where strong binding is generally desired) as well as to, for example, beads or other similar forms which are used in affinity purification (where weak binding is generally desired).

The invention however relates not only to the use of this pairing in binding molecules to surfaces, particularly though not essentially arrayable surfaces, but also to the use of a *ble* fusion protein as a folding and solubility marker. It also relates to the use of "labelled" antibiotics from the bleomycin family which due to their specificity to the *ble* proteins make them useful as markers of cellular localisation.

### **BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION**

Expression of human proteins in heterologous systems such as bacteria, yeast, insect cells or mammalian cells can result in the production of incorrectly folded proteins resulting in the formation of insoluble aggregates and / or a low yield of expressed proteins. For all functional proteomic work the production of correctly folded or native proteins is essential and a great deal of work is often performed to optimise the expression of individual proteins.

Two areas where incorrect folding and poor solubility may be significant are set out below:

***Problematic insolubility of engineered proteins***

The manipulation of protein function by genetic engineering is a major field of study with strong commercial and academic drivers. The desired characteristics sought from such engineered proteins include altered substrate specificity, novel catalytic function, improved binding specificity and improved thermal or pH tolerance. However, the primary mutations introduced into the protein frequently result in loss of soluble expression and compensating mutations to restore solubility are usually impossible to predict.

***Problematic insolubility of proteins expressed recombinantly in heterologous hosts***

Expressing recombinant proteins in *Escherichia coli* is often preferred, especially for bulk protein production (e.g. industrial enzymes, for X-ray crystallography), due to the ease of fermentation, absence of heterogeneous post-translational modification, simplicity of subsequent processing steps and high yields of material. However a frequent problem encountered, especially with eukaryotic proteins, is that the recombinant material is expressed insolubly and is therefore inactive. Such problematic proteins may be expressed solubly in more complicated systems (e.g. *Pichia pastoris*, baculovirus), but the lower yields and more expensive process can result in a commercially less attractive product.

In both of these cases, a method for generating soluble forms of an insoluble protein has great utility. Random mutagenesis of the protein to generate a library of variants followed by selection or screening for soluble expression is one approach. This is often referred to as "directed evolution". The requirements of such a screen are that many variant clones can be assessed in parallel since the libraries involved are large (often  $>10^6$  members). In some cases the activity of the target protein may be assayed directly. However, this is relatively rare and often requires each clone to be lysed in the wells of a microtitre plate and often the proteins have no assayable activity.

A more efficient method is to fuse to the protein a second "reporter" protein with a visually assayable phenotype. Since the two proteins are physically linked, the solubility of the reporter (and therefore presence of the observable phenotype) is dependent on the solubility of the other. Several such reporter systems have been reported. Preferably the

phenotype can be observed with no process steps (i.e. when clones are still growing as colonies on agar plates) permitting a high throughput analysis.

Large scale expression of recombinant proteins for the manufacture of protein arrays is one area of proteomics which involves the functional characterisation of proteins in a parallel manner. Many thousands of proteins are required to be expressed in a soluble and folded manner. Expression libraries are usually employed whereby genes are placed under the control of a promoter and expression of the gene is then induced. The next step is to assess which clones express folded recombinant protein. On an individual basis, this is usually achieved by fractionating cell lysates into soluble and insoluble components by centrifugation and subsequent analysis of the fractions by gel electrophoresis. This approach is very low throughput and scale up to the level required to screen libraries is logistically infeasible. Thus, a need exists for a method to screen expression libraries for clones that produce soluble, folded proteins that may then be used for functional studies. Fusion of the recombinant proteins to a reporter protein permits simple determination of its solubility.

Before considering what has been done to date it is necessary to make the important distinction between a "screen" in which all members of a collection are assessed with a subset conforming to the desired characteristics being isolated, and a "selection" in which only the members of interest are observable.

Selections are more powerful than screens when dealing with very large numbers since practical limitations exist associated with handling and analysing large numbers of clones. Common examples of screens used in molecular biology are blue/white selection and GFP fluorescence.

Solubility/ folding reporters resulting in a colour based (visual) screen that have been described use  $\beta$ -galactosidase (Wigley, W.C., Stidham, R.D., Smith, N.M., Hunt, J.F. & Thomas, P.J. Protein solubility and folding monitored in vivo by structural complementation of a genetic marker protein. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 19, 131-135 (2001); and green fluorescent protein (GFP). (Waldo, G.S., Standish, B.M., Berendzen, J. & Terwilliger, T.C. Rapid protein-folding assay using green fluorescent protein. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 17, 691-695 (1999).)

Optimisation of protein solubility by directed evolution for use in structural studies has also been performed using GFP. (Pédélec, J.D. et al. Engineering soluble proteins for structural genomics. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 20, 927-932 (2002).)

Several examples of exploiting fusion markers as indicators of protein folding and solubility exist in the literature. The principle that underlies these systems is the observation that protein folding and solubility are closely correlated since misfolded protein usually forms insoluble aggregates or are heavily proteolysed by the host cell. It is therefore assumed that if a protein is solubly expressed in an unproteolysed form, it is in its correctly folded form. If a fusion is made between one protein and another, the folding and solubility of one domain is linked to that of the other. This is shown in Fig 1 which illustrates the principle of a folding marker for assessing solubility of the gene X expression product. Only when the protein product of gene X is soluble is the phenotype of the fusion apparent. In this case, the fusion results in green fluorescent colonies that can be clearly identified.

A life-or-death selection for solubility and folding has been described employing chloramphenicol acetyl transferase (CAT) (Maxwell, K.L., Mittermaier, A.K., Forman-Kay, J.D. & Davidson, A.R. A simple in vivo assay for increased protein solubility. *Protein Sci.* 8, 1908-1911 (1999).)

## DEFINITIONS

As defined herein "ble" genes are a family of genes which express proteins which reversibly bind the glycopeptide antibiotics of the bleomycin family, and include, but are not limited to Sh ble, Tn5 ble and Sa ble. In general these genes (or more precisely the gene products encoded by these genes) confer to their host resistance to the glycopeptide antibiotics of the bleomycin family.

The "bleomycin family of antibiotics" are DNA - cleaving glycopeptides and include, but are not limited to, bleomycin, phleomycin, tallysomyin, pepleomycin and Zeocin™.

The term "proteins", as used herein, is used to include both whole proteins, polypeptides, and sub units or domains thereof.

A "fusion protein", as used herein, refers to a protein, which comprises a "tag" at the N and/ or C terminus which binds to members of the bleomycin family of antibiotics. The

fusion protein may be expressed as a fusion protein from a ble gene containing genetic construct or may be formed by either intein mediated splicing of, for example, two separate polypeptides or by the chemical ligation of two such peptides according to methods known in the art.

The "tag" is then used as a signalling component and/ or a means to manipulate the fusion protein further. Thus, it may be used to bind the fusion protein to a surface or to another molecule, such as for example a visual indicator molecule, such as a fluorescent molecule, or to indicate a characteristic of the fusion protein e.g. that it is folded such that it is likely to be functional.

The relative terms "strong binder" and "weak binder" and "high density" and "low density" are used herein to functionally distinguish between examples where it is desirable to achieve a substantially irreversible bond and the situation where it is intended that binding will be reversed such as in affinity purification. Thus, because for example the Sh ble protein is a dimer that can bind two molecules of bleomycin co-operatively (the first affinity is ~600nM whilst the second affinity is ~100nM) it is possible to use the ble proteins binding characteristics in a selective manner. For example, if one has a surface (e.g. a bead) coated with bleomycin at low density, then one would expect on average that one Sh ble dimer would be bound by one bleomycin molecule. In contrast, if one has a surface (e.g. a bead) coated with bleomycin at a high density, then one would expect on average that one Sh ble dimer would be bound by two bleomycin molecules (each binding one of the two dimer subunits), particularly if the bleomycin molecules were themselves attached to flexible linkers (e.g. polyethyleneglycol (PEG) polymers). Since in this latter case the two bleomycin molecules are effectively linked together via the surface, the second binding affinity will now be several orders of magnitude greater than where the two molecules are not linked together. In other words, the binding affinity will be significantly enhanced by a chelate effect. This effect can be utilised to advantage since one would want different binding affinities in, for example, an array (where one wants a substantially irreversible binding) and a reversible capture system such as a bead.

So, by controlling the surface density of the antibiotic, such as, bleomycin, it should be possible to readily control whether the Sh ble fusions bind weakly or strongly to the



surface. Weak binding would be appropriate for affinity purifications whilst strong binding would be appropriate for immobilisation into arrays.

As an alternative to immobilising the bleomycin molecules onto a surface, one can covalently couple them to, for example amine-reactive fluorescent dyes, such as NHS-activated fluorescein, Cy3, Cy5, and Rhodamine. It should then be possible to diffuse the fluorescent bleomycin derivatives into whole cells (both prokaryotic and eukaryotic cells), whereupon the derivatives would bind selectively to Sh ble fusion proteins. In this way the Sh ble tag can be used as a marker of cellular localisation (in the same way as GFP is currently used). This will also enable the Sh ble tag to be used as both a selectable (i.e. life-or-death) marker and a screenable (i.e. fluorescence-based) marker.

In one aspect of the invention the support takes the form of a probe which is capable of acting as a target in analysis by laser desorption/ionisation mass spectrometry, for example, matrix assisted laser desorption/ionisation (MALDI). The probe carries the analytes, for example proteins, during such processes and interacts with the repeller lens of the ion-optic assembly found in laser desorption/ionisation time-of-flight (TOF) mass spectrometers of the art, such that the analytes are converted to gaseous ions to permit analysis. For example, the probes of the invention may be derived from targets for MALDI analysis as known in the art, which are treated such that a high affinity protein binding moiety e.g. Zeocin are present on the probe surface which bind Sh ble fusion proteins for subsequent analysis. For example, conventional glass or gold MALDI targets may be used.

As defined herein a "micro array" is an array where the size of the discrete target areas i.e. the individual areas probed by a laser, is in the order of micrometers or less. Whilst at the upper end of the scale, around 1000 micrometers diameter, they may be visible to the naked eye, at the lower end of the scale the discrete target areas will not be clearly distinguished by the naked eye.

The "arrays" will typically be arranged in matrices comprising several rows and columns. The number of discrete target areas will depend upon what is being screened though it is generally desirable to have a high density of these discrete areas on the probe surface as

this will facilitate high through put screening. Typically a probe will comprise at least 10, more preferably at least 100, more preferably at least 1000 and as many as 10,000 or more target areas produced thereon. (Typically a probe surface will have an area of around 10,000mm<sup>2</sup> – a Bruker probe has an area of 10292mm<sup>2</sup> although there is no requirement to use the whole of the probe and the microarray can be applied in one or more matrices thereon.) The actual density in a given matrices will depend upon the size of the discrete target area (which will typically be printed as a spot) and the spacing between adjacent spots. Thus the discrete target areas will typically be present at a density of greater than 1 discrete target areas per mm<sup>2</sup> within any matrices.

"Linker molecules" are molecules which function as their name suggests. They are molecules comprising functional groups which allow bridges to be formed between different molecules.

## SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The protein product of, for example, the *Sh ble* gene encodes a protein that when expressed by a cell, confers resistance to antibiotics of the bleomycin family including Zeocin by binding to the antibiotic and sequestering it. (Gatignol, A., Durand, H. & Tiraby, G. Bleomycin resistance conferred by a drug-binding protein. *FEBS Lett* 230, 171-175. (1988)).

These antibiotics otherwise induce DNA strand breakage. When, for example, the *Sh ble* gene is fused in tandem with a second gene of interest, thereby encoding a fusion protein, expression of the fusion protein also confers resistance to Zeocin. If the gene of interest encodes an insoluble protein or protein fragment, the Zeocin resistance-conferring phenotype is not observed since the fusion protein is insoluble and incapable of binding the antibiotic. This forms the basis of a novel life-or-death selection for folded, soluble proteins.

Additionally, since, for example, the *Sh ble* protein binds Zeocin tightly and co-operatively the inventors have shown that it can be used as an affinity tag if a surface is provided that is derivatised with, for example, Zeocin or an analogue.

Furthermore, by, for example, linking a visual indicator to the antibiotic and allowing the labelled antibiotic to bind the fusion protein via the tag it should be possible to use the ble fusion protein as, for example, a marker for cellular localisation.

According to a first aspect of the present invention there is provided the use of a ble fusion protein as an expression and folding marker and/ or an affinity tag.

In one embodiment the ble fusion protein is used solely as a folding marker.

In another embodiment the ble fusion protein is used solely as an affinity tag.

In a preferred embodiment the ble fusion protein is used as both a folding marker and an affinity tag.

According to a second and related aspect of the present invention there is provided a method of immobilising a protein to a surface wherein the protein is provided to the surface as a ble fusion protein and the surface is a surface derivatised with an antibiotic from the bleomycin family.

Antibiotics from the bleomycin family include, but are not limited to bleomycin, phleomycin, tallysomycin, pepleomycin and Zeocin™. Preferred members include bleomycin A2, bleomycin A5, bleomycin A6, bleomycin B2 and Zeocin™.

An advantage with bleomycin A5 and A6 is that they comprise a terminal primary amine group which can be used to couple the protein to, for example, an amine reactive surface. Typical groups used to produce an amine reactive surface include, but are not limited to: aldehydes, epoxides, N-hydroxysuccinamides, and isothionates. Of course other functional groups present on the antibiotics may be used to couple the antibiotics to a surface, and this will be apparent to the person skilled in the art.

In a preferred example coupling may be achieved by reacting the amine group with an amine reactive surface, such as, for example, a NHS activated, polyethyleneglycol (PEG) derivitized surface.

In a preferred embodiment the surface is the surface of an array, more particularly a microarray, and more particularly still a MALDI array although it could be a microtitre plate, a glass slide, (the surface being the surface of a probe) or a bead, (the surface being that of a purification media).

Thus according to a third aspect of the present invention there is provided a probe characterised in that it has a target surface comprising an array having a plurality of discrete target areas presenting one or more analyte capture moieties comprising an antibiotic from the bleomycin family.

Such a target surface typically has a high density coating of the antibiotic such that the target analyte is captured with a high affinity, preferably less than 400nM, more preferably less than 200nM, more particularly still less 150nM. In the specific example noted the Sh ble has an affinity in the order of 100nM or less when two molecules of bleomycin are bound.

According to a fourth aspect of the present invention there is provided a purification media comprising a target surface presenting one or more analyte capture moieties comprising an antibiotic from the bleomycin family.

Such a target surface typically has a low density coating of the antibiotic such that the target analyte is captured with a low affinity, typically in the order of greater than 400nM, and more preferably greater than 500nM. In the specific example noted the Sh ble has an affinity in the order of 600nM when a single molecule of bleomycin is bound. Ideally the affinity would be in the  $\mu$ M range but sufficient that the proteins are bound.

In either case the antibiotic may be linked to the surface via a flexible linker such as an activated polyethyleneglycol (PEG).

According to a fifth aspect of the present invention there is provided an antibiotic from the bleomycin family characterised in that it is tagged with a marker.

Preferably the marker is a visual marker such as, for example, a fluorescent marker. Alternatively it could be labelled with a radioactive isotope. Preferred fluorescent markers include, but are not limited to NHS activated fluorescein, Cy3, Cy5 and Rhodamine.

A tool for the production of the fusion proteins is a vector consisting essentially of a ble gene downstream of a linker DNA which in use is excised and replaced with a DNA encoding a protein to be expressed as a ble fusion protein.

In one embodiment the ble vector is provided as one of the components of a kit for making an array along with a surface which has been derivatised with an antibiotic from the bleomycin family or the components for making such a derivatised surface.

According to a sixth aspect of the present invention there is provided a method for generating soluble forms of an insoluble protein comprising:

- i) generating a library of protein variants; and

- ii) selecting colonies for the presence of a soluble protein by expressing the protein as a ble fusion protein and selecting on an antibiotic from the bleomycin family.

Preferably the method further comprises growing up the selected colonies in liquid culture, lysing and printing a crude lysate onto a surface, and washing the tagged surface to remove non-bound materials.

A previous life-or-death selection utilising chloramphenicol acetyl transferase (CAT) is known, but is not very effective (Maxwell, K.L., Mittermaier, A.K., Forman-Kay, J.D. & Davidson, A.R. A simple in vivo assay for increased protein solubility. *Protein Sci.* 8, 1908-1911 (1999).) due to its narrow window of toxicity. i.e. the concentration of antibiotic that kills cells with no CAT is close to that which permits survival of cells with CAT. Also, it does not directly enable the subsequent downstream purification or immobilisation of the expressed protein since CAT enzymatically destroys the antibiotic and does not bind the product with significant affinity.

According to an seventh aspect of the present invention there is provided a method of purifying a Sh ble fusion protein from a crude extract comprising the step of immobilising it on a surface via an antibiotic from the bleomycin family and optionally releasing it there from.

According to a eighth aspect of the invention there is provided a method of identifying the cellular localisation of a protein comprising

- i) expressing the protein as a ble fusion protein in a cell,
- ii) introducing a labelled antibiotic from the bleomycin family into the cell, and detecting the labelled antibiotic.

The method may be qualitative or quantitative in which case the method comprises a further step of quantitating the labelled antibiotic. This may be done by measuring the intensity of fluorescence where the labelled antibiotic is fluorescently labelled.

#### **BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS**

The various aspects of the invention are further described by way of example only with reference to the following Figs and Examples in which:

Fig 1 is a schematic demonstrating the principle of using a folding marker for assessing the solubility of a "gene X" expression product. Only when the protein product of gene X is soluble is the phenotype of the fusion apparent. In this case, the fusion results in green fluorescent colonies that can be clearly identified;

Fig 2 is a vector according to one aspect of the invention incorporating a Sh ble gene;

Fig 3a and b illustrate the purification of a Sh ble fusion protein on Bleomycin Sepharose

A) is a Coomassie stained gel, and

B) is a Streptavidin HRP probed western.

Lanes 1-6 are loaded as follows:

- 1) lysate,
- 2) Bleomycin-Sepharose after incubation with lysate,
- 3) unbound lysate after incubation with Bleomycin-Sepharose,
- 4) Bleomycin elution,
- 5) Bleomycin-Sepharose after Bleomycin elution, and
- 6) unbound lysate after incubation with Bleomycin-Sepharose.

Fig 4 illustrates the immobilisation of Sh Ble fusion proteins on a Bleomycin derivatised microtitre plate. Lysates of two different Sh ble fusion protein clones were added to wells of Bleomycin derivatised and control microtitre plate in the presence and absence of competing Bleomycin;

Fig 5 illustrates the purification of immobilised Sh ble fusion proteins on Bleomycin coated microwells; (Sh ble fusion protein captured from lysates on Bleomycin derivatised microwells was run on SDS PAGE. Input lysates were also run for comparison. Input lysates were a 2 fold dilution series, with lane 1 representing undiluted lysate and lane 7 representing a 1 in 64 dilution.)

Fig 6 shows the rate of immobilization of Sh ble fusion protein on Bleomycin derivatised microwell; (Cleared lysates of Sh ble fusion protein clone 1 were incubated for varying times in a Bleomycin derivatised microwell. A) Silver stained SDS PAGE showing amount of fusion protein immobilized. B) The bands were quantified and plotted against time.)

Fig 7 illustrates the retention of Sh ble fusion protein on Bleomycin derivatised microwell; (Sh Ble fusion protein clone 1 was bound to Bleomycin derivatised microwells. After washing the wells were incubated for varying times in buffer.

A) Coomassie stained SDS PAGE showing amount of fusion protein remaining immobilized. B) The bands were quantified and plotted against time.)

Fig 8 illustrates retention of Sh ble fusion protein on Bleomycin derivatised microwell.

(Sh ble fusion protein clone 1 was bound to Bleomycin derivatised microwells. After washing the wells were incubated for varying times in buffer containing excess Bleomycin. A) Coomassie stained SDS PAGE showing amount of fusion protein remaining immobilized. B) The bands were quantified and plotted against time. and

Fig 9 illustrates immobilisation of Sh ble fusion protein on a Bleomycin derivatised microarray slide. (1 µl of cleared lysates of Sh ble fusion protein clone 2 were spotted onto a bleomycin derivatised micro-array slide in the presence and absence of excess free bleomycin. A) After probing for the fusion protein using an anti Flag antibody and Cy3 labelled anti mouse secondary the Cy3 fluorophore was visualized. B) Total spot fluorescence intensity was plotted in a histogram for spots in the presence and absence of excess Bleomycin.)

## DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

### 1.0 Use of Sh ble as an affinity Tag

A cDNA library was ligated into a modified E. coli expression vector (compare to Fig 2) so that the gene could be Tagged at both the N and C termini with a FLAG Tag at the N terminus and Sh ble, BCCP (Biotinylated domain of E. coli ACCB) and Myc Tag at the C terminus.

After transformation into XL10 Gold, clones were selected by growing on LB Agar containing 50 µg/ml Zeocin.

Two Zeocin resistant clones were selected, grown in LB-amp to an OD<sub>600</sub> of approx 0.4 after which time the clones were induced with 100 µg/ml IPTG for 4h at 30°C. The cells were harvested by centrifugation, 4000g for 15 min at 4°C, and stored in aliquots at -20 °C to be used for future work. These are referred to as "Clone 1" and "Clone 2".

Fresh E. coli lysates were prepared prior to each experiment.

To each aliquot of cells (from 40 ml of induction culture) 300 µl of lysis buffer (200 mM Tris pH 8, 100 mM EDTA, 1 x Protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche), 10 mM mercaptoethanol, 200 µg/ml lysozyme) was added and the cells resuspended. After 15 min incubation at 4°C cells were diluted with 1.7 ml of buffer (1 x protease inhibitors, 20

mM  $MgCl_2$ , 50  $\mu g/ml$  DNase) and incubated at 4°C for a further 30 minutes. Cleared lysates were obtained after centrifugation 20000g for 10 min at 4°C.

### 1.1 Derivatisation of Sepharose with Bleomycin and its use in affinity purification.

Bleomycin A6 (2mg/ml) was coupled to a Pharmacia 1ml HiTrap NHS activated Sepharose column according to the manufacturers instruction.

After coupling the Sepharose was removed from the column and used for batchwise purification of Sh ble fusion proteins.

To 6  $\mu l$  of Bleomycin-Sepharose slurry (approx 50% swollen beads) in PBS-Tween 4  $\mu l$  of Clone 1 lysate (prepared as above) was added and incubated at 4°C for 30 min with shaking. After briefly centrifuging the supernatant was removed and the beads were washed three times in 10  $\mu l$  of PBS-Tween. To a similarly treated batch of Bleomycin-Sepharose bound protein was eluted by incubating the beads in 10  $\mu l$  of PBS-Tween containing 300  $\mu g/ml$  Bleomycin A6 at 4°C for 10 min with shaking.

Samples were then boiled in SDS sample buffer and loaded onto two identical 10-20% Tris Glycine SDS PAGE plates. After electrophoresis one gel was stained with coomassie and the other transferred to nitrocellulose membrane and probed with streptavidin HRP before visualization of bands using DAB (Fig 3).

Referring to Fig 3 it can be clearly seen that the Sh ble fusion protein has bound to the Bleomycin sepharose on both the coomassie stained gel and Western Blot (Figure 3 A and B lanes 2). It can also be seen that the Sh ble fusion protein bound is very pure producing only one band on Coomassie stained gel. The protein has not only shown to be specifically bound and significantly depleted from the lysate of fusion protein, but also can be specifically eluted with free bleomycin (Figure 3 A and B lanes 4) thus providing evidence of both specificity of interaction and also a means of elution. However under these conditions only a proportion of the Sh ble fusion protein was eluted from the Bleomycin-Sepharose.

### 1.2 Derivatisation of Microtitre plates with Bleomycin

Microtitre 8 well strips coated with PEG with an amine reactive terminus ("protein immobilizer" plates) were purchased from Exiqon. Plates were coated with Bleomycin A6 effectively according to the manufacturers protocol. Briefly Bleomycin A6 was dissolved in 100 mM  $KPO_4$  buffer pH 8 at a concentration of 1 mg/ml. 100  $\mu l$  of Bleomycin solution was added to each well, the wells were sealed and incubated at 4°C



for 18h. After which the Bleomycin solution was removed from the wells and the wells were washed with PBS-Tween for 5 minutes three times. Control wells were prepared as above with the omission of bleomycin A6.

### **1.3 Use of Bleomycin surfaces in affinity immobilization**

To Bleomycin coated and control wells, 50  $\mu$ l of two different Sh ble fusion protein clone lysates (Clone 1 and Clone 2 lysates prepared as above) were added in the presence and absence of 5 mg/ml bleomycin. Lysates were incubated in the wells for 30 mins at room temperature with slight agitation, after which time the lysate was aspirated from the wells and the wells were washed three times with PBS-Tween for 5 minutes each wash.

Proteins were eluted from the surface by the addition of 50  $\mu$ l of SDS sample loading buffer and the wells were heated on a heating block at 100°C for 10 mins. 20  $\mu$ l of each sample was run on 10-20% Tris Glycine SDS PAGE. The gel was transferred to nitrocellulose membrane and probed using streptavidin HRP conjugate. The Blot was visualized using ECL (Amersham) and photographic film shown in Fig 4.

From Fig 4 it is clear that both Sh ble fusion proteins are only immobilized on Bleomycin derivatised micro wells and in addition that this interaction can be competed by pre-incubation of lysates with excess free bleomycin.

### **1.4 Analysis of Sh ble fusion protein purified and immobilized on Bleomycin derivatised microwells**

50  $\mu$ l of Cleared lysates at differing dilutions of Sh ble fusion Clone 1 prepared as above were added to Bleomycin derivatised microwells. After incubation for 40 minutes at room temperature the wells were washed three times with PBS-Tween. Protein was eluted from the wells by heating on a heating block at 100°C for 10 min in SDS sample buffer and 15  $\mu$ l were electrophoresed on SDS PAGE with equivalent amounts of input lysates. After electrophoresis gels were visualised using a standard silver staining protocol.

The results are illustrated in Fig 5 which shows purification of immobilised Sh ble fusion proteins on Bleomycin coated microwells. Sh ble fusion protein captured from lysates on Bleomycin derivatised microwells was run on SDS PAGE. Input lysates were also run for comparison. Input lysates were a 2 fold dilution series, with lane 1 representing undiluted lysate and lane 7 representing a 64 fold dilution.

Figure 5 demonstrates that there is predominantly 1 major band of purified full length Sh Ble fusion protein captured on microwells. It is probable that other minor bands seen represent proteolysis products of the Sh ble fusion as shown by comparison to a similar experiment with western blotting shown in Fig 4.

**1.5 Rate of binding of Sh ble fusion protein to Bleomycin derivatised microwells**  
50  $\mu$ l of cleared lysate of Sh ble fusion Clone 1 were added to Bleomycin derivatised microwells. After 5, 10, 20, 30, 40, 50 and 60 minutes of incubation at room temperature the wells were washed three times with PBS-Tween. Protein was eluted from the wells by heating on a heating block at 100°C for 10 min in SDS sample buffer and 15  $\mu$ l were electrophoresed on SDS PAGE. After electrophoresis gels were visualised using a standard silver staining protocol (Fig 6 A). The Sh ble bands on the gel image were quantified and average density was plotted (Fig 6 B). These data show that most protein is immobilized after approximately 40 minutes of incubation.

**1.6 Stability of Sh ble fusion protein interaction with Bleomycin derivatised microwells.**

50  $\mu$ l of cleared lysate of Sh Ble fusion Clone 1 were added to Bleomycin derivatised microwells. After incubation for 1h at room temperature the wells were washed three times with PBS-Tween. After washing wells were filled with PBS-Tween and incubated for the desired time to generate a time course. This was also repeated with a duplicate set of wells, which after washing the wells were incubated in 0.5mM Bleomycin in PBS-Tween. After these time courses, protein was eluted from the wells by heating on a heating block at 100°C for 10 min in SDS sample buffer and 15  $\mu$ l were electrophoresed on SDS PAGE with equivalent amounts of input lysates. After electrophoresis gels were visualised using coomassie stain (Figs. 7A & 8A).

The Sh ble fusion protein bands on the gel image were quantified and average density was plotted (Figs. 7B & 8B).

Immobilised Sh ble fusion protein remained very largely bound to the Bleomycin derivatised microwell after incubation for up to 24 h in PBS-Tween (Fig. 7). The inclusion of excess free Bleomycin in the buffer was anticipated to increase the rate of fusion protein dissociation from the microwell, however even in the presence of excess free Bleomycin (Fig. 8) little loss of immobilized Sh ble fusion protein was observed.

### **1.7 Use of Sh ble fusion for immobilization of proteins on microarrays.**

Exiqon Earray slides were used in this study and have a surface coating that is essentially that found in the microtitre plate wells used previously, where a PEG molecule with an amine reactive terminus is used to coat the slide. Derivatisation of these slides was performed as for the microtitre plate wells with 400 µl of 3 mg/ml Bleomycin A6 applied to the surface under a microscope slide hybridization chamber.

Cleared lysates of Sh ble fusion protein clone 1 were diluted 1 in 2, 1 in 4 and 1 in 8 with 100 mM KPO<sub>4</sub> buffer. The lysates were transferred to a 384 well microtitre plate for microarraying. A six by six pattern of the lysates was microarrayed onto one slide derivatised with bleomycin and one that had been similarly coated with ethanolamine. Microarraying was achieved using a Genetix Qarray robot equipped with 300 micron solid pins. The microarrays were incubated in a humid chamber for 1 h at room temperature. After washing in PBS-Tween the slides were probed with a mouse anti FLAG antibody followed by a Cy3 labelled anti mouse IgG antibody. After drying in a stream of nitrogen, slides were visualized using an Affymetrix 428 array scanner equipped with laser and filter appropriate for detecting the Cy3 Fluorophore (Fig. 9A). The total spot fluorescence was quantified and averages of the identical spots were taken and plotted (Fig. 9B).

The data in Figure 9 demonstrate that a Sh ble fusion protein is immobilized specifically on a bleomycin derivatised microscope slide. This indicates that Sh ble is suitable for use as an affinity tag for the immobilization of proteins in a microarray format.

## CLAIMS

- 1 Use of a Ble fusion protein as an expression and folding marker and/ or an affinity tag.
- 2 Use of a Ble fusion protein as claimed in claim 1 as an expression and folding marker.
- 3 Use of a Ble fusion protein as claimed in claim 1 as an affinity tag.
- 4 Use of a Ble fusion protein as claimed in claim 1 as an expression and folding marker and an affinity tag.
- 5 Use of a Ble fusion protein as claimed in any of the preceding claims wherein the Ble fusion protein is the expression product of a Sh ble, Tn5 ble or Sa ble gene.
- 6 A method of immobilising a protein to a surface, wherein the protein is provided to the surface as a ble fusion protein and the surface is a surface derivatised with an antibiotic from the bleomycin family.
- 7 A method as claimed in claim 6 wherein the antibiotic from the bleomycin family is selected from the group consisting of bleomycin, phleomycin, tallysomycin, pepleomycin and Zeocin™.
- 8 A method as claimed in claim 7 wherein the antibiotic from the bleomycin family is selected from the group consisting of bleomycin A2, bleomycin A5, bleomycin A6, bleomycin B2 or Zeocin™.
- 9 A method as claimed in any of claims 6-8 wherein a functional group on the antibiotic is used to link it to the surface.
- 10 A method as claimed in claim 9 wherein an amine group present on the antibiotic is used to couple the antibiotic to the surface.
- 11 A method as claimed in claim 10 wherein the antibiotic is coupled to a polyethyleneglycol (PEG) derivitized surface via an amine group.
- 12 A method as claimed in any of claims 6 to 11 wherein the surface is the surface of an array, a microtitre plate, a slide or a bead.
- 13 A method as claimed in claim 12 wherein the array is a microarray.
- 14 A method as claimed in claim 13 wherein the array is a MALDI array.

15. A method as claimed in claim 12 which further comprises removing the ble fusion protein from the surface.
16. A probe, characterized in that it has a target surface comprising an array having a plurality of discrete target areas presenting one or more analyte capture moieties comprising an antibiotic from the bleomycin family.
17. A probe as claimed in claim 16 wherein the antibiotic is provided on the target surface at a high surface density.
18. A probe as claimed in claim 17 wherein the capture moieties have an affinity for the moiety they are intended to capture in the order of 100nM.
19. A probe as claimed in any of claims 16-18 wherein the antibiotic from the bleomycin family is selected from the group consisting of bleomycin, phleomycin, tallysomycin, pepleomycin and Zeocin™.
20. A probe as claimed in claims 19 wherein the antibiotic from the bleomycin family is selected from the group consisting of bleomycin A2, bleomycin A5, bleomycin A6, bleomycin B2 or Zeocin™.
21. A purification media having a large surface to volume area comprising a target surface presenting one or more analyte capture moieties comprising an antibiotic from the bleomycin family.
22. A purification media as claimed in claim 21 which is a bead.
23. A purification media as claimed in claim 21 or 22 wherein the antibiotic is provided on the target surface at a low surface density.
24. A purification media as claimed in claim 23 wherein the capture moieties have an affinity for the moiety they are intended to capture in the order of 600nM.
25. A purification media as claimed in any of claims 21 - 24 wherein the antibiotic from the bleomycin family is selected from the group consisting of bleomycin, phleomycin, tallysomycin, pepleomycin and Zeocin™.
26. A purification media as claimed in any of claims 21 - 25 wherein the antibiotic from the bleomycin family is selected from the group consisting of bleomycin A2, bleomycin A5, bleomycin A6, bleomycin B2 or Zeocin™.

27. A purification media as claimed in any of claims 21-26 wherein the antibiotic is bound to the surface via a flexible linker molecule.
28. A purification media as claimed in claim 27 wherein the flexible linker molecule is a polyethylene glycol (PEG).
29. An antibiotic from the bleomycin family characterised in that it is tagged with a marker.
30. An antibiotic as claimed in claim 29 wherein the marker is a visual marker.
31. An antibiotic as claimed in claim 30 wherein the visual marker is a fluorescent marker.
32. An antibiotic as claimed in claim 31 wherein the fluorescent marker is selected from NHS-activated fluorescein, Cy3, Cy5 or Rhodamine.
33. A method for generating soluble forms of an insoluble protein comprising:
- i) generating a library of protein variants; and
  - ii) selecting colonies for the presence of a soluble protein by expressing the protein as a ble fusion protein and selecting on an antibiotic from the bleomycin family.
34. A method as claimed in claim 33 further comprising growing the selected colonies, lysing them and binding the fusion protein to a surface.
35. A method as claimed in claim 34 wherein the surface comprises an antibiotic from the bleomycin family via which the fusion protein is bound.
36. A method of purifying a ble fusion protein from a crude extract comprising the step of immobilising it on a surface via an antibiotic from the bleomycin family and optionally releasing it there from.
37. A method of identifying the cellular localisation of a protein comprising
- i) expressing the protein as a ble fusion protein in a cell,
  - ii) introducing a labelled antibiotic from the bleomycin family into the cell, and
  - iii) detecting the labelled antibiotic.
38. A method as claimed in claim 37 wherein the antibiotic is one as claimed in any of claims 29-32.

39. A kit for the production of an array comprising a ble vector and a surface derivatised with an antibiotic from the bleomycin family or the components for making said derivatised surface.

FIG 1




FIG 2





FIG 3.




Fig 3. Purification of Sh ble fusion protein on Bleomycin Sepharose.


A) Coomassie stained gel,

B) Streptavidin HRP probed western.

Lanes loaded:

- 1) lysate,
- 2) Bleomycin-Sepharose after incubation with lysate,
- 3) unbound lysate after incubation with Bleomycin-Sepharose,
- 4) Bleomycin elution,
- 5) Bleomycin-Sepharose after Bleomycin elution,
- 6) unbound lysate after incubation with Bleomycin-Sepharose.


FIG 4.

Clone No	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2
ble coated	-	-	+	+	-	-	+	+
ble comp	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+
175								
83								
62								
48								
33								
25								
17								
7								

Immobilisation of Sh ble fusion proteins on a Bleomycin derivatised microtitre plate.

Lysates of two different Sh ble fusion protein clones were added to wells of Bleomycin  
 derivatised and control microtitre plate in the presence and absence of competing  
 Bleomycin.

FIG 5.



Purification of immobilised Sh ble fusion proteins on Bleomycin coated microwells.

Sh ble fusion protein captured from lysates on Bleomycin derivatised microwells was run on SDS PAGE.

Input lysates were also run for comparison.

Input lysates were a 2 fold dilution series, with 1 representing undiluted lysate to 7 which was a 1 in 64 dilution.

FIG 6A and 6B




Fig 6. Rate of immobilization of Sh ble fusion protein on Bleomycin derivatised microwell.

Cleared lysates of Sh ble fusion protein clone 1 were incubated for varying times in a Bleomycin derivatised microwell.

A) Silver stained SDS PAGE showing amount of fusion protein immobilized.

B) The bands were quantified and plotted against time.

FIGS 7A and 7B.




Figure 7. Retention of Sh ble fusion protein on Bleomycin derivatised microwell.

Sh Ble fusion protein clone 1 was bound to Bleomycin derivatised microwells.

After washing the wells were incubated for varying times in buffer.

A) Coomassie stained SDS PAGE showing amount of fusion protein remaining immobilized.

B) The bands were quantified and plotted against time.

FIGS 8A and 8B




Figure 8. Retention of Sh ble fusion protein on Bleomycin derivatised microwell.

Sh ble fusion protein clone 1 was bound to Bleomycin derivatised microwells.

After washing the wells were incubated for varying times in buffer containing excess Bleomycin.

A) Coomassie stained SDS PAGE showing amount of fusion protein remaining immobilized.

B) The bands were quantified and plotted against time.

FIGS 9A and 9B




Figure 9. Immobilisation of Sh ble fusion protein on a Bleomycin derivatised microarray slide. Cleared lysates of Sh ble fusion protein clone 1 were microarrayed onto bleomycin derivatised and control micro-array slides.

A) After probing for the fusion protein using an anti Flag antibody and Cy3 labelled anti mouse secondary the Cy3 fluorophore was visualized.

B) Total spot fluorescence intensity was plotted for spots on bleomycin derivatised and control micro-array slides.

**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning  
Operations and is not part of the Official Record.**

## **BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

☐ **BLACK BORDERS**

☐ **IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES**

☐ **FADED TEXT OR DRAWING**

☒ **BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING**

☐ **SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES**

☐ **COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS**

☐ **GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS**

☐ **LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT**

☐ **REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY**

☐ **OTHER:** \_\_\_\_\_

**IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.**

**As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.**